

The Ender

Edited by PETER GAY

instinctual repression is effected by its religions, in that they require the individual to sacrifice his instinctual pleasure to the Deity: 'Vengeance is mine, saith the Lord.' In the development of the ancient religions one seems to discern that many things which mankind had renounced as 'iniquities' had been surrendered to the Deity and were still permitted in his name, so that the handing over to him of bad and socially harmful instincts was the means by which man freed himself from their domination. For this reason, it is surely no accident that all the attributes of man, along with the misdeeds that follow from them, were to an unlimited amount ascribed to the ancient gods. Nor is it a contradiction of this that nevertheless man was not permitted to justify his own iniquities by appealing to divine example.

Creative Writers and Day-Dreaming

The informal diction Freud employed in this paper is a good instance of his capacity for adjusting his style to his audience. He delivered the paper to about ninety laymen, brought together by one of his publishers, Hugo Heller, late in 1907. The English title misses the main psychoanalytic point of the paper, which connects the play of children with the fantasies of "creative writers" (Freud uses the economical, untranslatable German word "Dichter," which embraces story-tellers, novelists, poets, and playwrights). It is interesting to note that Freud gives to aesthetic pleasure the name of "fore-pleasure," which links it to sexual pleasure. Altogether, the paper, however casual its tone, is a serious contribution to the psychology of creativity, and hence part of Freud's effort at constructing a general psychology.

We laymen have always been intensely curious to know—like the Cardinal who put a similar question to Ariosto¹—from what sources that strange being, the creative writer, draws his material, and how he manages to make such an impression on us with it and to arouse in us emotions of which, perhaps, we had not even thought ourselves capable. Our interest is only heightened the more by the fact that, if we ask him, the writer himself gives us no explanation, or none that is satisfactory; and it is not at all weakened by our knowledge that not even the clearest insight into the determinants of his choice of material and into the nature of the art of creating imaginative form will ever help to make creative writers of us.

If we could at least discover in ourselves or in people like ourselves an activity which was in some way akin to creative writing! An examination of it would then give us a hope of obtaining the beginnings of an explanation of the creative work of writers. And, indeed, there is

some prospect of this being possible. After all, creative writers themselves like to lessen the distance between their kind and the common run of humanity; they so often assure us that every man is a poet at heart and that the last poet will not perish till the last man does.

Should we not look for the first traces of imaginative activity as early as in childhood? The child's best-loved and most intense occupation is with his play or games. Might we not say that every child at play behaves like a creative writer, in that he creates a world of his own, or, rather, re-arranges the things of his world in a new way which pleases him? It would be wrong to think he does not take that world seriously; on the contrary, he takes his play very seriously and he expends large amounts of emotion on it. The opposite of play is not what is serious but what is real. In spite of all the emotion with which he cathects his world of play, the child distinguishes it quite well from reality; and he likes to link his imagined objects and situations to the tangible and visible things of the real world. This linking is all that differentiates the child's 'play' from 'phantasying.

a writer's work. source of pleasure for the hearers and spectators at the performance of citements which, in themselves, are actually distressing, can become a give no enjoyment, can do so in the play of phantasy, and many exand which are capable of representation. It speaks of a 'Lustspiel' or world of phantasy which he takes very seriously—that is, which he invests technique of his art; for many things which, if they were real, could spieler' ['players': literally 'show-players']. The unreality of the writer's play'] and describes those who carry out the representation as 'Schauwith large amounts of emotion—while separating it sharply from reality. imaginative world, however, has very important consequences for the "Trauerspiel" ['comedy' or 'tragedy': literally, 'pleasure play' or 'mourning forms of imaginative writing which require to be linked to tangible objects poetic creation. It gives [in German] the name of 'Spiel' ['play'] to those Language has preserved this relationship between children's play and The creative writer does the same as the child at play. He creates a

There is another consideration for the sake of which we will dwell a moment longer on this contrast between reality and play. When the child has grown up and has ceased to play, and after he has been labouring for decades to envisage the realities of life with proper seriousness, he may one day find himself in a mental situation which once more undoes the contrast between play and reality. As an adult he can look back on the intense seriousness with which he once carried on his games in childhood; and, by equating his ostensibly serious occupations of to-day with his childhood games, he can throw off the too heavy burden imposed on him by life and win the high yield of pleasure afforded by humouy.

As people grow up, then, they cease to play, and they seem to give up the yield of pleasure which they gained from playing. But whoever

 [[]Cardinal Ippolito d'Este was Ariosto's first patron, to whom he dedicated the Orlando Furioso. The
poet's only reward was the question: 'Where did you find so many stories, Lodovico?']

understands the human mind knows that hardly anything is harder for a man than to give up a pleasure which he has once experienced. Actually, we can never give anything up; we only exchange one thing for another. What appears to be a renunciation is really the formation of a substitute or surrogate. In the same way, the growing child, when he stops playing, gives up nothing but the link with real objects; instead of playing, he now phantasies. He builds castles in the air and creates what are called day-dreams. I believe that most people construct phantasies at times in their lives. This is a fact which has long been overlooked and whose importance has therefore not been sufficiently appreciated.

People's phantasies are less easy to observe than the play of children. The child, it is true, plays by himself or forms a closed psychical system with other children for the purposes of a game; but even though he may not play his game in front of the grown-ups, he does not, on the other hand, conceal it from them. The adult, on the contrary, is ashamed of his phantasies and hides them from other people. He cherishes his phantasies as his most intimate possessions, and as a rule he would rather confess his misdeeds than tell anyone his phantasies. It may come about that for that reason he believes he is the only person who invents such phantasies and has no idea that creations of this kind are widespread among other people. This difference in the behaviour of a person who plays and a person who phantasies is accounted for by the motives of these two activities, which are nevertheless adjuncts to each other.

A child's play is determined by wishes: in point of fact by a single wish—one that helps in his upbringing—the wish to be big and grown up. He is always playing at being 'grown up', and in his games he imitates what he knows about the lives of his elders. He has no reason to conceal this wish. With the adult, the case is different. On the one hand, he knows that he is expected not to go on playing or phantasying any longer, but to act in the real world; on the other hand, some of the wishes which give rise to his phantasies are of a kind which it is essential to conceal. Thus he is ashamed of his phantasies as being childish and as being unpermissible.

But, you will ask, if people make such a mystery of their phantasying, how is it that we know such a lot about it? Well, there is a class of human beings upon whom, not a god, indeed, but a stern goddess—Necessity—has allotted the task of telling what they suffer and what things give them happiness.² These are the victims of nervous illness, who are obliged to tell their phantasies, among other things, to the doctor by whom they expect to be cured by mental treatment. This is

And when a man falls silent in his torment / A god granted me to tell how I suffer."}

our best source of knowledge, and we have since found good reason to suppose that our patients tell us nothing that we might not also hear from healthy people.

are either ambitious wishes, which serve to elevate the subject's personof phantasying. We may lay it down that a happy person never phanfind his place in a society which is full of other individuals making erotic trends. In young men egoistic and ambitious wishes come to the ality; or they are erotic ones. In young women the erotic wishes precording to the sex, character and circumstances of the person who is a correction of unsatisfying reality. These motivating wishes vary actasies, only an unsatisfied one. The motive forces of phantasies are equally strong demands. brings with him from the spoilt days of his childhood, so that he may young man has to learn to suppress the excess of self-regard which he up young woman is only allowed a minimum of erotic desire, and the see, there are strong enough motives for concealment; the well-broughtheroic deeds and at whose feet all his triumphs are laid. Here, as you other the lady for whom the creator of the phantasy performs all his majority of ambitious phantasies, we can discover in some corner or portrait of the donor is to be seen in a corner of the picture, so, in the the fact that they are often united. Just as, in many altar-pieces, the on the opposition between the two trends; we would rather emphasize fore clearly enough alongside of erotic ones. But we will not lay stress dominate almost exclusively, for their ambition is as a rule absorbed by having the phantasy; but they fall naturally into two main groups. They unsatisfied wishes, and every single phantasy is the fulfilment of a wish, Let us now make ourselves acquainted with a few of the characteristics

and receive from every fresh active impression what might be called a shifting impressions of life, change with every change in his situation, or unalterable. On the contrary, they fit themselves in to the subject's on the thread of the wish that runs through them. situation relating to the future which represents a fulfilment of the wish an infantile one) in which this wish was fulfilled; and it now creates a is linked to some current impression, some provoking occasion in the portant. We may say that it hovers, as it were, between three timesthe various phantasies, castles in the air and day-dreams-are stereotyped memory. Thus past, present and future are strung together, as it were, From there it harks back to a memory of an earlier experience (usually present which has been able to arouse one of the subject's major wishes. the three moments of time which our ideation involves. Mental work 'date-mark'. The relation of a phantasy to time is in general very imtraces of its origin from the occasion which provoked it and from the What it thus creates is a day-dream or phantasy, which carries about it We must not suppose that the products of this imaginative activity-

A very ordinary example may serve to make what I have said clear. Let us take the case of a poor orphan boy to whom you have given the

 ⁽This sentence, as the editors note, is an allusion (unmittakable to any educated German or Austrian) to Gethe's Torquato Tasso: in the concluding scene, the troubled hero of the play sevelaims.

[&]quot;Und wenn der Mensch in seiner Qual verstummt,
Cab mir ein Gott zu sagen, wie ich leide—

address of some employer where he may perhaps find a job. On his way there he may indulge in a day-dream appropriate to the situation from which it arises. The content of his phantasy will perhaps be something like this. He is given a job, finds favour with his new employer, makes himself indispensable in the business, is taken into his employer's family, marries the charming young daughter of the house, and then himself becomes a director of the business, first as his employer's partner and then as his successor. In this phantasy, the dreamer has regained what he possessed in his happy childhood—the protecting house, the loving parents and the first objects of his affectionate feelings. You will see from this example the way in which the wish makes use of an occasion in the present to construct, on the pattern of the past, a picture of the

There is a great deal more that could be said about phantasies; but I will only allude as briefly as possible to certain points. If phantasies become over-luxuriant and over-powerful, the conditions are laid for an onset of neurosis or psychosis. Phantasies, moreover, are the immediate mental precursors of the distressing symptoms complained of by our patients. Here a broad by-path branches off into pathology.

I cannot pass over the relation of phantasies to dreams. Our dreams at night are nothing else than phantasies like these, as we can demonstrate from the interpretation of dreams. Language, in its unrivalled wisdom, long ago decided the question of the essential nature of dreams by giving the name of 'day-dreams' to the airy creations of phantasy. If the meaning of our dreams usually remains obscure to us in spite of this pointer, it is because of the circumstance that at night there also arise in us wishes of which we are ashamed; these we must conceal from ourselves, and they have consequently been repressed, pushed into the unconscious. Repressed wishes of this sort and their derivatives are only allowed to come to expression in a very distorted form. When scientific work had succeeded in elucidating this factor of dream-distortion, it was no longer difficult to recognize that night-dreams—the phantasies which we all know so well.

So much for phantasies. And now for the creative writer. May we really attempt to compare the imaginative writer with the 'dreamer in broad daylight', and his creations with day-dreams? Here we must begin by making an initial distinction. We must separate writers who, like the ancient authors of epics and tragedies, take over their material readymade, from writers who seem to originate their own material. We will keep to the latter kind, and, for the purposes of our comparison, we will choose not the writers most highly esteemed by the critics, but the less pretentious authors of novels, romances and short stories, who nevertheless have the widest and most eager circle of readers of both sexes. One feature above all cannot fail to strike us about the creations of these story-writers: each of them has a hero who is the centre of interest, for

this revealing characteristic of invulnerability we can immediately recognize His Majesty the Ego, the hero alike of every day-dream and of whom the writer tries to win our sympathy by every possible means and which one of our best writers has expressed in an inimitable phrase: miraculous rescue-a rescue without which the story could not proceed. sea, I am certain, at the opening of the second volume, to read of his the first volume closes with the ship he is in going down in a storm at (1-3) sea. I am certain at the accession of the second o of the next being carefully nursed and on the way to recovery; and if and bleeding from severe wounds, I am sure to find him at the beginning \sim If, at the end of one chapter of my story, I leave the hero unconscious whom he seems to place under the protection of a special Providence. enemy's fire in order to storm a battery. It is the true heroic feeling, adventures is the same as the feeling with which a hero in real life throws himself into the water to save a drowning man or exposes himself to the The feeling of security with which I follow the hero through his perilous Nothing can happen to me!'3 It seems to me, however, that through 10,00

Other typical features of these egocentric stories point to the same kinship. The fact that all the women in the novel invariably fall in love with the hero can hardly be looked on as a portrayal of reality, but it is easily understood as a necessary constituent of a day-dream. The same is true of the fact that the other characters in the story are sharply divided into good and bad, in defiance of the variety of human characters that are to be observed in real life. The 'good' ones are the helpers, while the 'bad' ones are the enemies and rivals, of the ego which has become the hero of the story.

general no doubt owes its special nature to the inclination of the modern sitional cases. It has struck me that in many of what are known as model could be linked with it through an uninterrupted series of transuppress the suspicion that even the most extreme deviations from that very small active part; he sees the actions and sufferings of other people dream. In these, the person who is introduced as the hero plays only a in consequence, to personify the conflicting currents of his own mental writer to split up his ego, by self-observation, into many part-egos, and looks at the other characters from outside. The psychological novel in scribed from within. The author sits inside his mind, as it were, and removed from the model of the naïve day-dream; and yet I cannot individuals who are not creative writers, and who diverge in some respects pass before him like a spectator. Many of Zola's later works belong to life in several heroes. Certain novels, which might be described as this category. But I must point out that the psychological analysis of eccentric', seem to stand in quite special contrast to the type of the daypsychological' novels only one person—once again the hero—is de-We are perfectly aware that very many imaginative writings are far

 [Es kann dir nix g'schehen! This phrase from Anzengruber, the Viennese dramatist, was a favourite one of Freud's.]



from the so-called norm, has shown us analogous variations of the day-dream, in which the ego contents itself with the role of spectator.

well as of the old memory. instance, try to apply to these authors' works the thesis we laid down and his works. No one has known, as a rule, what expectations to frame us try to study the connections that exist between the life of the writer of time and the wish which runs through them; and, with its help, let earlier concerning the relation between phantasy and the three periods must, above all, show itself in some way or other fruitful. Let us, for and of poetical creation with the day-dream, is to be of any value, it The work itself exhibits elements of the recent provoking occasion as there now proceeds a wish which finds its fulfilment in the creative work of an earlier experience (usually belonging to his childhood) from which in approaching this problem; and often the connection has been thought strong experience in the present awakens in the creative writer a memory in much too simple terms. In the light of the insight we have gained If our comparison of the imaginative writer with the day-dreamer, n phantasies, we ought to expect the following state of affairs. A

Do not be alarmed at the complexity of this formula. I suspect that in fact it will prove to be too exiguous a pattern. Nevertheless, it may contain a first approach to the true state of affairs; and, from some experiments I have made, I am inclined to think that this way of looking at creative writings may turn out not unfruitful. You will not forget that the stress it lays on childhood memories in the writer's life—a stress which may perhaps seem puzzling—is ultimately derived from the assumption that a piece of creative writing, like a day-dream, is a continuation of, and a substitute for, what was once the play of childhood.

We must not neglect, however, to go back to the kind of imaginative works which we have to recognize, not as original creations, but as the re-fashioning of ready-made and familiar material. Even here, the writer keeps a certain amount of independence, which can express itself in the choice of material and in changes in it which are often quite extensive. In so far as the material is already at hand, however, it is derived from the popular treasure-house of myths, legends and fairy tales. The study of constructions of folk-psychology such as these is far from being complete, but it is extremely probable that myths, for instance, are distorted vestiges of the wishful phantasies of whole nations, the secular dreams of youthful humanity.

You will say that, although I have put the creative writer first in the title of my paper, I have told you far less about him than about phantasies. I am aware of that, and I must try to excuse it by pointing to the present state of our knowledge. All I have been able to do is to throw out some encouragements and suggestions which, starting from the study of phantasies, lead on to the problem of the writer's choice of his literary material. As for the other problem—by what means the creative writer achieves

the emotional effects in us that are aroused by his creations—we have as yet not touched on it at all. But I should like at least to point out to you the path that leads from our discussion of phantasies to the problems

essential ars poetica lies in the technique of overcoming the feeling of repulsion in us which is undoubtedly connected with the barriers that sources. How the writer accomplishes this is his innermost secret, the are inclined to take to be his personal day-dreams, we experience a great communicate them to us he could give us no pleasure by his disclosures. conceals his phantasies from other people because he feels he has reasons of poetical effects. methods used by this technique. The writer softens the character of his pleasure, and one which probably arises from the confluence of many But when a creative writer presents his plays to us or tells us what we Such phantasies, when we learn them, repel us or at least leave us cold for being ashamed of them. I should now add that even if he were to pleasure of this kind, and our actual enjoyment of an imaginative work proceeds from a liberation of tensions in our minds. It may even be that arising from deeper psychical sources. In my opinion, all the aesthetic offered to us so as to make possible the release of still greater pleasure us in the presentation of his phantasies. We give the name of an incentive egoistic day-dreams by altering and disguising it, and he bribes us by rise between each single ego and the others. We can guess two of the also, at least for the moment, to the end of our discussion. us to the threshold of new, interesting and complicated enquiries; but to enjoy our own day-dreams without self-reproach or shame. This brings not a little of this effect is due to the writer's enabling us thenceforward pleasure which a creative writer affords us has the character of a forebonus, or a fore-pleasure, to a yield of pleasure such as this, which is the purely formal—that is, aesthetic—yield of pleasure which he offers You will remember how I have said that the day-dreamer carefully

Leonardo da Vinci and a Memory of His Childhood

Freud worked on this long paper about Leonardo da Vinci from the fall of 1909 to the spring of 1910 and published it late in May. It was one of his favorite productions. But it has also been a favorite among Freud's critics, for it is plagued by problems severe enough to call his whole chain of argumentation into question. (I comment on the most serious of these below, p. 455.) Freud took particular pleasure in this investigation because he saw it as a stage in psychoanalysis's conquest of culture. "Biography, too, must become ours," he wrote to Jung on October 17, 1909, and added that "the riddle of Leonardo da Vinci's character has suddenly become transparent to me. That, then, would be the first step in biography." (See Cay, Freud, p. 268.) Psychoanalytic biography, he was convinced, would humanize the writing of lives. And he took pleasure in this paper, too, because he greatly admired Leonardo as one "among the greatest of the human