Some of the following essays were originally published in magazines—for the most part in *Documents sur l'art*, and exhibition catalogues', but have been considerably reworked, not to say re-ordered, here. Others are previously unpublished. This collection of essays is also rounded off by a glossary, which readers may refer to whenever a problematic concept rears its head. To make the book that much easier to come to grips with, may we suggest to turn right away to the definition of the word "Art".

884

 "Le paradigme esthétique (Félix Guattari et L'art)" was published by the magazine Chimères, 1993; "Relation écran" was published in the catalogue for the 3rd Lyon Contemporary Art Biennial, 1995.

Relational form

not an immutable essence. It is the critic's task to study this activity completion has drained the criteria of aesthetic judgement we are emphasise in these bourgeois times, the spirit informing it). This been tairly and squarely wound up (and not, let us hasten to develop and evolve according to periods and social contexts; it is artistic practices. The new is no longer a criterion, except among in the present. A certain aspect of the programme of modernity has social arena, and grasp what has already changed and what is still order to invent more effective tools and more valid viewpoints, it traditionalist culture has taught them to loathe in yesterday's art. In present is concerned, cling solely to the things that their latter-day detractors of modern art who, where the much-execrated heir to of their substance, but we go on applying them to present-day Artistic activity is a game, whose forms, patterns and functions shown in exhibitions held in the 1990s, and the lines of thinking changing. How are we to understand the types of artistic behaviour behoves us to understand the changes nowadays occurring in the behind them, if we do not start out from the same situation as the

Contemporary artistic practice and its cultural plan
The modern political era, which came into being with the
Enlightenment, was based on the desire to emancipate individuals
and people. The advances of technologies and freedoms, the

decline of ignorance, and improved working conditions were all billed to free humankind and help to usher in a better society. There are several versions of modernity, however. The 20th century was thus the arena for a struggle between two visions of the world: a modest, rationalist-conception, hailing from the 18th century, and a philosophy of spontaneity and liberation through the irrational (Dada, Surrealism, the Situationists), both of which were opposed to authoritarian and utilitarian forces eager to gauge human relations and subjugate people instead of culminating in hoped-for emancipation, the advances of technologies and "Reason" made it that much easier to exploit the South of planet earth, blindly replace human labour by machines, and set up more and more sophisticated subjugation techniques, all through a general rationalisation of the production process. So the modern emancipation plan has been substituted by countless forms of melanoholy.

to acknowledge the legitimacy and interest of these experiments Proudhon, Marx, the Dadaists and Mondrian. If opinion is striving in the direction indicated by Enlightenment philosophers, evident that today's art is carrying on this fight, by coming up with say, developed from the ideological swing of things offered by of history? What used to be called the avant-garde has, needless to perceptive, experimental, critical and participatory models, veering modern rationalism; but it is now re-formed on the basis of quite conditions, on the pretext of the bankruptcy of tangible attempts to different philosophical, cultural and social presuppositions. It is do as much-shored up by totalitarian ideologies and naïve visions teleology, any more than it can to political messianism. many ways. For modernity cannot be reduced to a rationalist social living conditions), but it is as well to bear in mind that this (changing culture, attitudes and mentalities, and individual and possible to disparage the desire to improve living and working project was already there before them, differing from their plan in International, fell within the tradition of this modern project Iwentieth century avant-garde, from Dadaism to the Situationist

this is because they are no longer presented like the precursory phenomena of an inevitable historical evolution. Quite to the contrary, they appear fragmentary and isolated, like orphans of an overall view of the world bolstering them with the clout of an

The state of the s

ideology.

It is not modernity-that is dead, but its idealistic and teleological

Today's fight for modernity is being waged in the same terms as yesterday's, barring the fact that the avant-garde has stopped patrolling like some scout, the troop having come to a cautious standstill around a bivouac of certainties. Art was intended to prepare and announce a future world: today it is modelling possible universes.

known to us managed to spread their wings, over the past ten years or 80? This "chance" can be summed up in just a few words and utopian realties, but to actually be ways of living and models Otherwise put, the role of artworks is no longer to form imaginary construct it based on a preconceived idea of historical evolution artist. Althusser said that one always catches the world's train on the of action within the existing real, whatever the scale chosen by the slipstream of historical modernity is to repeat neither its forms nor the bottom or the top. The artist dwells in the circumstances the move; Deleuze, that "grass grows from the middle" and not from represented the historical chance whereby most of the art worlds state of affairs: he defines it negatively, by using the term abandon an overall reconstruction of the space inhabited by minor modifications in a space whose modernity it inherits, and léarning to inhabit the world in a better way, instead of trying to humankind". What is more, Lyotard seems to half-bemoan this modern architecture, which "is condemned to create a series of task is akin to the one that Jean-François Lyotard allocated to post its claims, and even less assign to art the same functions as it. Their The ambition of artists who include their practice within the condemned". And what, on the other hand, if this "condemnation"

The months of 2

12

~

13

objects are produced, as with the changing forms of social life, may on any theoretical terrain. Its plan, which has just as much to do modernity yesterday. There is nothing more absurd either than the objects less deserving of attention and examination than of the everyday and the development of time lived, which are not de Certeau's expression?. Nowadays, modernity extends into the the physical and conceptual world) into a lasting world. He catches Maurizio Cattelan's phrase.. Darwinism. Here, then, is the time of the "dolce utopia", to use nevertheless seem dull to minds formed in the mould of cultural with working conditions and the conditions in which cultural project, or than the claim that its subversive aspects are not based assertion that contemporary art does not involve any political Messianistic utopias and the formal "novelties" that typified practices of cultural do-it-yourself and recycling, into the invention the world on the move: he is a tenant of culture, to borrow Michel present offers him, so as to turn the setting of his life (his links witl

Artwork as social interstice

2

space), points to attitudes). Because of the crampedness of dwelling spaces in this urban world, there was, in tandem, a scaling-down of furniture and networks and roads, and telecommunications, and the gradua to much greater individual mobility (through the development of cities, which took off at the end of the Second World War, gave rise freeing-up of isolated places, going with the opening-up of not only to an extraordinary upsurge of social exchanges, but also urban culture, and from the extension of this city model to more or political goals introduced by modern art. To sketch a sociology of this, this evolution stems essentially from the birth of a world-wide rather than the assection of an independent and private symbolic The possibility of a relational art (an art taking as its theoretical ess all cultural phenomena. The general growth of towns and forizon the realm of human interactions and its social context a radical upheaval of the aesthetic, cultural and

nracticae on an farming ended up producing linked artistic practices: an art form where the substrate is formed by intersubjectivity, and which takes being-together as a central theme, the elaboration of meaning. Let us leave the matter of the historicity of nothing other than this falsely aristocratic conception of the to be particularly suitable when it comes to expressing this handssculpture which come across in the form of an exhibition) turns out generate bond of linkage (Fr. reliance), to borrow Michel Maffesoli's term: flags. of dialogue. One of the virtual properties of the image is its power the power of an absolute rule of civilisation, this system of on civilisation, because it tightens the space of relations, unlike TV logos, icons, signs, all produce empathy and sharing, and al this phenomenon on one side: art has always been relational in of society, that "state of encounter imposed on people", to use artistic experiment. What is collapsing before our very eyes is varying degrees, i.e. a factor of sociability and a founding principle "encounter" between beholder and picture, and the collective jungle which the *natural state* once was, according to Jean-Jacques Althusser's expression, contrasting with that dense and "trouble-free" experience: it is the tangible symbol and historical setting of the state as a period of time to be lived through, like an opening to unlimited territorial acquisition. In other words, it is no longer possible to parangement of works of art, associated with the feeling of and the way they are shown attest to a growing urbanisation of the owner and the crowd), the development of the function of artworks well as those of the apartment, helping to distinguish between their lordly item in this urban setting (the dimensions of the work, as period of time, the artwork has managed to come across as a luxury objects, now emphasising a greater manoeuvrability. If, for a long Rousseau, a jungle hampering any lasting encounter(Once raised to regard the contemporary work as a space to be walked through (the owner's tour" is akin to the collector's). It is henceforth presented The city has ushered in and spread the hands-on Art (practices stemming from painting and

(S. 2)

'n

14

The Ste

and literature which refer each individual person to his or her space of private consumption, and also unlike theatre and cinema which bring small groups together before specific, unmistakable images. Actually, there is no live comment made about what is seen (the discussion time is put off until after the show). At an exhibition, on the other hand, even when inert forms are involved, there is the possibility of an immediate discussion, in both senses of the term. I see and perceive, I comment, and I evolve in a unique space and time. Art is the place that produces a specific sociability. It remains to be seen what the status of this is in the set of "states of encounter" proposed by the City. How is an art focused on the production of such forms of conviviality capable of re-launching the modern emancipation plan, by complementing it? How does it permit the development of new politicaland cultural designs?

context by being removed from the law of profit: barter, public toilets were invented to keep streets clean. The same spirit the more because it creates spaces planned to this end. Automatic describe trading communities that elude the capitalist economic a social interstice. This interstice term was used by Karl Marx to underpins the development of communication tools, while city social context restricts the possibilities of inter-human relations all representational commerce: it creates free areas, and time-spans openly into the overall system, but suggests other trading merchandising, autarkic types of production, etc. The interstice is a material, which governs contemporary society. Over and above its place of artworks in the overall economic system, be it symbolic or Before giving concrete examples, it is well worth reconsidering the whose rhythm contrasts with those structuring everyday life, and it precise nature of the contemporary art exhibition in the arena of possibilities than those in effect within this system. This is the space in human relations which fits more or less harmoniously and encourages an inter-human commerce that differs from the mercantile nature and its semantic value, the work of art represents communication zones" that are imposed upon us. The present-day

streets are swept clean of all manners of relational dross, and neighbourhood relationships fizzle. The general mechanisation of social functions gradually reduces the relational space. Just a few years ago, the telephone wake-up call service employed human beings, but now we are woken up by a synthesised voice... The automatic cash machine has become the transit model for the most elementary of social functions, and professional behaviour patterns are modelled on the efficiency of the machines replacing them, these machines carrying out tasks which once represented so many opportunities for exchanges, pleasure and squabbling. Contemporary art is definitely developing a political project when it endeavours to move into the relational realm by turning it into an issue.

groupings may occur, governed as they are by differing principles superstructure made up of "big" exchanges, and defined by it. onlooker by the artist, along with the nature of the works and the operating at the hub of "social infra-thinness" (l'inframince social) MoMA garden in New York (Hamoc en la moma, 1993), he is Brazilian market (Crazy Tourist, 1991), or slings a hammock in the When Gabriel Orozeo puts an orange on the stalls of a deserted models of sociability proposed and represented, an exhibition will made up of immigrants brought together by collective laughter through a loudspeaker in a Copenhagen square (Turkish Jokes, other. When Jens Haaning broadcasts funny stories in Turkish (a sleeping bag on the grass, an empty shoebox, etc.). They record record of tiny revolutions in the common urban and semi-urban life Without any wording, Orozco's photographs are a documentary this silent, still life nowadays formed by relationships with the that minute space of daily gestures determined by the And depending on the degree of participation required of the 1994), he produces in that split second a micro-community, one fnd in it. The exhibition is the special place where such momentary which upsets their exile situation, formed in relation to the work

give rise to a specific "arena of exchange". And this "arena of exchange", must be judged on the basis of aesthetic criteria, in other words, by analysing the coherence of its form, and then the symbolic value of the "world" it suggests to us, and of the image of human relations reflected by it. Within this social-interstice, the artist must assume the symbolic models he shows. All represents, and fits into the social fabric more than it represents, and fits into the social fabric more than it draws inspiration therefrom) refers to values that can be transposed into object and the subject of an ethic. And this all the more so because, unlike other activities, its sole function is to be exposed to this commerce.

Art is a state of encounter.

Relational aesthetics and random materialism

Relational aesthetics is part of a materialistic tradition. Being construct and criticise. Hubert Damisch saw in the "end of art other words, in relation to the players and the system which they the game is being forever re-enacted, in relation to its function, in such thing as any possible "end of history" or "end of art", because (Marx; the human essence is the set of social relations). There is no Individuals together in social forms which are invariably historical Reason, which might allot it a purpose. So the essence of contingency, which has no pre-existing origin or sense, nor particular materialism takes as its point of departure the world reading works in purely economic terms. The philosophical does it imply that sort of narrow-mindedness that consists in tradition that underpins this relational aesthetics was defined in a Theories the outcome of an irksome muddle between the "end of the noteworthy way by Louis-Althusser, in one of his last writings, as materialistic" does not mean sticking to the triteness of facts, nor jumankind is purely trans-individual, made up of bonds that link materialism of encounter, or random materialism. This

game" and the "end of play". A new game is announced as soon as the social setting radically changes, without the meaning of the game itself being challenged. This inter-human game which forms our object (Duchamp: "Art is a game between all people of all periods") nevertheless goes beyond the context of what is called "art" by commodity. So the "constructed situations" advocated by the Situationist International belong in their own right to this "game", in spite of Guy Debord who, in the final analysis, denied them any artistic character. For in them, quite to the contrary, he saw "art being exceeded" by a revolution in day-to-day life. Relational aesthetics does not represent a theory of art, this would imply the statement of an origin and a destination, but a theory of form.

it, it is merely a subset in the overall series of existing forms. In the materialistic philosophical tradition ushered in by Epicurus and a slightly diagonal course. If one of these atoms swerves off course, What do we mean by form? A coherent unit, a structure Lucretius, atoms fall in parallel formations into the void, following features of a world. The artwork does not have an exclusive hold on (independent entity of inper dependencies) which shows the typical can be defined as a lasting encounter". Lasting encounters, lines "a setting of elements on one another (the way ice 'sets')". "Form be joined together in a form, in other words, there must have been this encounter must be a lasting one: the elements forming it must encounter a pile-up, and the birth of the world"... This is how it "causes an encounter with the next atom and from encounter to scrap objects that fitter Schwitters' "Merz pictures", Chris Burden's and colours inscribed on the surface of a Delacroix painting, the between two hitherto parallel elements. In order to create a world spatial layout, they turn out to be lasting from the moment when forms come into being, from the "deviation" and random encounter their components form a whole whose sense "holds good" at the performances. pver and above the quality of the page layout or the

8

advance, but the principle acting as a trajectory evolving through a "world" a collection of disparate element (installation, for instance) of photographic images, then cinematography (introduction of the back in the 19th century. Today, the "glue" is less obvious, as our historical context. What today's informed public understands by manage to form a word, is, needless to say, dependent on the composition of this bonding agent, whereby encountering atoms experiences, be it Cézame's apples or Buren's striped structures. The together moments of the work of art as an and Guatlari were not saying anything different when it to meet: for example, death and the media in Andy Warhol. Deleuze through this viable world state, because they get elements held apart allow the human spirit to recognise other types of "world-forms" still sequence shot as a new dynamic unity), enabling us to recognise as visual experience has become more complex, enriched by a century works, down to the most critical and challenging of projects, passes that no unifying matter, no bronze, links. Other technologies may "keeping together" is not the same thing that this public imagined moment of their birth, sturing up new "possibilities of life". Al outspread can be witnessed in injunction by the founder of sociology, be re-activated by the beholder-manipulator) unknown: for example, computer science put forward the notion of effects of a composition, as the formalistic aesthetic would like to Gordon Matta-Clark or Dan Graham's work can not be reduced to the artistic "thing" sometime offers itself as a "fact" or an ensemble of Emile Durckheim, considering the "social fact" as a "thing"... As the instability and the diversity of the conceptor "form", notion whose In artist's artwork thus acquires the status of an ensemble of units to "things" those two artist "produce"; it is not the simple secondary the isolated object, it now can embrace the whole scene: the form of form, a world) can not be questioned. The setting is widening; after facts that happens in the time or space, and whose unity (making it a yogram, that inflect the approach of some artist's way of working block of affects and percepts sabjectivity associated with singular I want to insist on the Aut speeps defined

signs, objects, forms, gestures... The contemporary artwork's form is spreading out from its material form; it is a linking element a principle of dynamic agglutination. An artwork is a dot on a line.

Form and others' gaze

If, as Serge Daney writes, "all form is a face looking at us", what does a form become when it is plunged into the dimension of dialogue? What is a form that is essentially relational? It seems worth while to discuss this question by taking Daney's formula as a point of reference, precisely because of its ambivalence: as forms are looking at us, how are we to look at them?

Form is most often defined as an outline contrasting with a content. But modernist aesthetics talks about "formal beauty" by referring to a sort of (con)fusion between style and content, and an inventive compatibility of the former with the latter. We judge a work through its plastic or visual form. The most common criticism to do with new artistic practices consists, moreover, in denying them any "formal effectiveness", or in singling out their shortcomings in the "formal resolution". In observing contemporary artistic practices, we ought to talk-of "formations" rather than "forms." Unlike an object that is closed in on itself by the intervention of a style and a signature, present-day art shows that form only exists in the encounter and in the dynamic relationship enjoyed by an artistic proposition with other formations, artistic or otherwise.

There are no forms in nature, in the wild etate and in the dynamic relationship enjoyed by an artistic proposition with other formations, artistic or otherwise.

There are no forms in nature, in the wild state, as it is our gaze that greates these, by cutting them out in the depth of the visible. Forms are developed, one from another. What was yesterday regarded as formless or "informal" is no longer these things today. When the aesthetic discussion evolves, the status of form evolves along with it, and through it.

In the novels of polish writer Witold Gombrowicz, we see how each individual generates his own *form* through his behaviour, his way of coming across, and the way he addresses others. This form comes about in the borderline area where the individual struggles

with the Other, so as to subject him to what he deems to be his "being". So, for Gombrowicz, our "form" is merely a relational property, linking us with those who reify us by the way they see us, to borrow a Sartrian terminology. When the individual thinks he is casting an objective eye upon himself, he is, in the final analysis, contemplating nothing other than the result of perpetual transactions with the subjectivity of others.

The vevel of a pettifogging historical criticism. Practical "judgement", thus aimed, is peremptory and final in each instance, a negotiation with the intelligible, which is bequeathed to us assumes its texture (and only acquires a real existence) when if publicised by a work. Our persuasion, conversely, is that form only own persuasions. It is an aesthetics that reduces artistic practice to and aesthetic, stated by the artist in the act of its production. To work is nothing other than a "sum of judgements", both historical giving rise to other relations, and so on and so forth, ad infinitum) introduces human interactions. The form of an artwork issues from The artistic form, for some, side-steps this inevitability, for it is productive status: the states of an "encounter", hence the negation of dialogue. Which, alone, grants form a choices. We are in the presence of a prosecutor's aesthetics, here, paint is to become-part of history through plastic and visual which we discover in the essays of Thierry de Duves, for whom any quintessence of artistic practice. the social setting for the reception of art, which is its for which the artist confronts the history of art in the autarky of his Through it, the artist embarks upon a dialogue. The artistic practice Here we are at the opposite end of this authoritarian version of ar relationist" theory of art, inter-subjectivity does wolfonly represent he work of every artist is a bundle of relations with the worly Each particular artwork is a proposal to live in a shared world, and has resides in the invention of relations between consciousness environment", its "field" (Bourdieu), but also becomes the As part of a

only therefore suitable for producing taboos and proscriptions, by way of the form of the face, which symbolises the responsibility thinking, for whom the face represents the sign of the ethical taboo mind the one acting as the pedestal for Emmanuel Lévinas this invention of relations. This formula, needless to add, calls to of Bazin and Rossellini, claiming the "ontological realism" of the are responsible for this. To be persuaded of as much, suffice it to "all form is a face looking at us", he does not merely mean that we through the burden of "responsibility"? When Daney explains that servility? Is the image, which, for Daney, is a metaphor of the face, this humanism which reduces inter-subjectivity to a kind of interwe have towards others: "the bond with others is only made as "what forbids me to kill". Any "inter-subjective relation" proceeds The face, Lévinas asserts, is "what orders me to serve another" As Daney suggested, form becomes "face" through the effect of who returns it as he sees fit. The work tries to catch my gaze, the summed up by a binomial: someone shows something to someone on which the image may have a meaning, by pointing to a desired is the representative of desire in the image. It is the horizon based game of tennis. If we nudge Daney's reasoning a bit further, form a form is to invent possible encounters; receiving a form is to create cinematographic art, which even if it does lie at the origin of involved here, for Daney, is not solely a reference to the aesthetics we were not", when it "takes the place of another". What is him, the image is not "immoral" when it puts us "in the place where revert to the profound significance of the image for Daney. For responsibility", he writes, but don't ethics have a horizon other than way the new-born child "asks for" its mother's gaze. In La Vie based on which his own desire can rebound. This exchange can be world, which the beholder thus becomes capable of discussing, and the conditions for an exchange, the way you return a service in a image, is nothing other than the representation of desire. Producing Daney's thought, does not sum it up. He maintains that form, in an commune, Tzvetan Todorov has shown how the essence of

advent of the "Visual". In Daney's thinking, "all form is a face produces the visual, otherwise put, "looped information" levels of reality. For homogeneity does not produce images: it space. Form can only come about from a meeting between two Form is a dynamic that is included both, or turn by turn, in time and looking at me", because it is summoning me to dialogue with it TV-related and authoritarian, "Promote/receive", marking the a democracy of the image in favour of another pairing, this one end of this "Show/See" pairing, which represented the essence of and the "look-at-that". Daney's most recent writings lament the competition and violence. When an artist shows us something, he sociability is the need for acknowledgement, much more than yses a transitive ethic which places his work between the "look-at-me"

Art of the 1990s

Participation and transitivity

visitors are free to add the boiling water to and eat. most of them open, containing dehydrated Chinese soups which in no particular order. Stacked against the wall are cardboard boxes, of water on the boil. Camping gears is scattered around the gondola A metal gondola encloses a gas ring that is lit, keeping a large bow

of interactivity grows in volume within the set of communication object as a fait accompli. As such, these factors merely ratify a culture of interactivity which posits the transitivity of the cultural coefficient", attempting to create precise boundaries for the receiver's and performances, has become a constant feature of artistic practice. sociability. Spectator "participation", theorised by Fluxus happenings precise contract to viewers, and more or less tangible models of considerably. In international exhibitions we have seen a growing activism? In the last few years, pieces such as this have increased sculpture? an installation? a performance? an example of social This piece, by Rirkrit Tiravanija, produced for the Aperto 93 at the development that goes way beyond the mere realm of art. The share field of activity in the artwork, this is nowadays being resolved in a As for the space of reflection opened up by Marcel Duchamp's "art number of stands offering a range of services, works proposing a Venice Biennial, remains around the edge of any definition: is it a

Michel de Certeau: Manières de faire, Editions Idées-Gallimard. t. Jean-François Lyotard: "The post modern explained to children", London, Turnaround, 1992.

^{4.} Michel Maffesoli: La contemplation du monde, Editions Grasset, 1993. 3. Louis Althusser: Ecrits philosophiques et politiques, Editions Stock-IMEC, 1995, p. 557

Hubert Damisch: Fenêtre jaune cadmium, Editions du Seuil.

^{6.} Thierry de Duve: Essais darés. Editions de La Différence, 1987. . Emmanuel Lévinas: Ethique et infini, Poche-Biblio, p. 93.

Serge Daney: Persévérance, Editions P.O.L., 1992, p. 38.

^{9.} Tzvetan Todorov: La Vie commune, Editions du Seuil, 1994